



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CW
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,130	06/19/2003	John F. Kay	02738.0030.CPUS02	5589
22910	7590	04/06/2006	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 28 STATE STREET 28th FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02109-9601			SILVERMAN, ERIC E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,130	KAY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric E. Silverman, PhD	1615	

All Participants:

(1) Eric E. Silverman, PhD.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) John Iwanicki.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2006

Time: 9:15 am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

as of record

Claims discussed:

as of record

Prior art documents discussed:

as of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

THURMAN K. PAGE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired as to the status of the case, since no response had appears in IFW. Applicnt replied that a response was timely filed, and that a date-stamped receipt was received from the USPTO. Examiner advised Applicant to re-submit the response, with the receipt enclosed to show that the response was timely filed. Examiner further advised Applicant that the application would not be abandoned, and that no petition to revive was needed..